



Approximate Resolution for OWL ABox Reasoning

Pascal Hitzler

AIFB, Universität Karlsruhe



Boris Motik

FZI Karlsruhe





Problem Description

Terminology: TBox+RBox

Annotations: ABox

To date: approx. 25 million active web**sites**.

Consequence:

Scalability is an ABox size problem.

ABox reasoning is worst-case **exponential**
even without nominals.

We propose a **polynomial** complete but unsound
approximate reasoning algorithm.



Idea

We facilitate recent results due to

Hustadt, Motik, Sattler, Studer 2003/2004

on **casting OWL-DL into disjunctive Datalog**.

(currently being implemented in KAON2)

Prolog

We approximate ABox reasoning by

regarding disjunctive heads of rules as conjunctions.

We can use **standard SLD-resolution**.

Resulting data complexity **polynomial instead of NP-hard**.

$\text{Human} \sqsubseteq \text{Male} \sqcup \text{Female}$

$\rightsquigarrow \text{Male}(x) \vee \text{Female}(x) \leftarrow \text{Human}(x)$

$\rightsquigarrow \text{Male}(x) \wedge \text{Female}(x) \leftarrow \text{Human}(x)$

Reduction to Disjunctive Datalog

Start with OWL-DL ontology.

$$D \equiv \{o_1, o_2\} \rightsquigarrow \{o_1, o_2\} \sqsubseteq D$$

1. Get rid of nominals. $\rightsquigarrow SHIQ(D)$ (language weakening)
2. OWL-DL is subset of first-order logic.
So translate into clausal form.
3. Saturate TBox+RBox by taking all consequences. [Exponential]
4. Eliminate function symbols. [No modification of semantics!]

Result: Function- and negation-free disjunctive logic program.

Human \sqsubseteq \exists Parent.Human

$\rightsquigarrow \forall x \exists y (\text{Human}(x) \rightarrow \text{Parent}(x,y) \wedge \text{Human}(y))$

$\rightsquigarrow \text{Parent}(x,f(x)) \wedge \text{Human}(f(x)) \leftarrow \text{Human}(x)$

$\rightsquigarrow \text{Parent}(x,fx) \wedge \text{Human}(fx) \leftarrow \text{Human}(x)$



TBox reasoning

ABox reasoning with disjunctive datalog is exponential.

We speed it up by changing the inference.

Prolog

**Disjunctive heads become conjunctive.
Use standard SLD-resolution.**

Complexity becomes polynomial.

**NonMonotonic
Reasoning**

**New inference can be described semantically
using standard NMR terminology.**

Approximate SLD-Resolution

Answering of conjunctive (non-ground) queries.

Human \sqsubseteq Male \sqcup Female.
Human(Rudi).



Human \sqsubseteq Male \sqcap Female.
Human(Rudi).

?- Male(X).
X=Rudi

?- Female(X).
X=Rudi

Complete (no nominals!) but unsound.

Semantic effect of nominal elimination unclear.





Semantic description

NMR: Associate set of models \mathcal{M} with program P.

A is a brave consequence of P if it is true with respect to at least one model in \mathcal{M} .

For approximate SLD-resolution \mathcal{M} is the set of all *well-supported models* of P.

Variant of standard notion for non-disjunctive programs. Shown by Fages (1994) to be equivalent to stable models.

Reiter's Default Logic

Answer Set Programming



Performance forecast

Trade-off between performance gain and correctness of answers.

Many disjunctions in ontology:

Higher speed-up.



More incorrect answers.



Few disjunctions in ontology:

Only **little** speed-up.



Few incorrect answers.



Currently, disjunctions are rarely being used in applications.



References

- 1. Hustadt, Motik, Sattler. Reducing SHIQ-Description Logic to Disjunctive Datalog Programs. KR 2004.**
- 2. Hustadt, Motik, Sattler. Reasoning in Description Logic with a Concrete Domain in the Framework of Resolution. ECAI2004.**
- 3. Hustadt, Motik, Sattler. Reasoning for Description Logics around *SHIQ* in a Resolution Framework. FZI Technical Report 3-8-04/04, 2004.**
- 4. Motik, Sattler, Studer. Query Answering for OWL-DL with Rules. ISWC 2004.**
- 5. New results forthcoming in Hitzler, Motik, Vrandečić. Approximate Resolution for OWL ABox reasoning (tentative title).**